

**REMARKS**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-33 under section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brasilier (US 2003/0073453) in view of Le (US 2002/0018010). For rejecting the independent claims 1, 11, 21, 27 and 33, the Examiner notes that Brasilier does not teach using the service option parameter to determine the header compression and compressing the header of the broadcast packet, however, the Examiner relies on Le for support of what Brasilier fails to teach. The Examiner cites paragraphs 86-89 of Le for such a support.

Applicant has reviewed the references and the specific references made in each cited reference, and does not find support for using the service option parameter to determine the header compression. Le simply discloses a compression technique. Le, nor Brasilier, or in combination, teach or suggest using the service option parameter to determine the header compression. For rejection under section 103(a), it is insufficient to establish obviousness absent some teaching or suggestion for the claim as a whole. Therefore, Applicant believes the independent claims 1, 11, 21, 27 and 33 are allowable over the cited art relied upon by Examiner to reject the claims. All other claims depend on the independent claims 1, 11, 21, 27 and 33 are also allowable for the same reason.

**REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE**

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that all pending claims in the application are patentable. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 21, 2007

By: /Larry J. Moskowitz/  
Larry J. Moskowitz, Reg. No. 42,911  
858/651-4556

QUALCOMM Incorporated  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121  
Telephone: (858) 658-5102  
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502

LJM:MM:sls